

## Appendix F: Summary of 2023 Annual Environmental Report stakeholder consultation (COPA 221)

Stakeholder	Reference (section condition)	Comment	Co-proponent Response Action completed
NSW Transport	COPA 42 - Appendix A compliance report	It is not the responsibility of Transport for NSW to consult with NSW Fisheries but NHS' (as the proponent) responsibility – please amend.	Text is verbatim as written in COPA, so COPA amendment would be required to amend text
NSW Transport	COPA 140 - Appendix A compliance report & Sch 4 cl 3	Add the wording <i>Note: Waterways Authority is now Transport for NSW.</i>	Wording added as requested
Fisheries NSW	COPA 98 - Appendix A compliance report	To get approval required under COPA 184, provide DPI Fisheries with a copy of the final seagrass monitoring plan to confirm that it incorporates previous advice dated 27 July 2023.	<p>Summer 2022/2023 Baseline Survey and Recommended Annual Summer Seagrass Monitoring Program Methodology incorporating DPI Fisheries' comments has been forwarded to DPI Fisheries</p> <p>Final seagrass monitoring plan incorporating advice 27/7/23 submitted to DPI Fisheries on 15/2/24. DPI Fisheries advised on 22/2/24 that final report was accepted.</p>
NSW Planning	Heading - cover page	Use of acronym in Sydney Harbour NP should not be used without defining it earlier	Amended NP to National Park
NPWS	Introduction Annual Environmental Report – section 1	Queries size of lease area. Is it not approximately 36 hectares?	Area of leased area as shown on deposited plans is 27.5 ha.

Stakeholder	Reference (section condition)	Comment	Co-proponent Response Action completed
NSW Planning	Introduction Annual Environmental Report – section 1	The report states MP 08_0041 was determined 2003 which is incorrect – the project was original determined as North Head Quarantine Station Conservation and Adaptive re-use Proposal – it transitioned to MP08_0041 during a subsequent modification application	Text amended and reference to MP 08_0041 removed.
NSW Planning	Responsible Personnel Annual Environmental Report – section 1.4	Recommends referring to positions rather than individuals	Removed reference to individuals
NSW Planning	Consultation Annual Environmental Report - – section 1.4	Remove reference to compliance when referring to NSW Planning	Removed
NSW Planning	Actions arising from 2021 Comprehensive Audit Annual Environmental Report - Section 2	In Section 2 of the Report, there is referencing to proposed action timeframes to non-compliances which potentially are outside the duration of the current approval (which expires 2024). Conditions are to be addressed within the timeframe remaining for the existing approval.	Timeframes amended to be within current approval

Stakeholder	Reference (section condition)	Comment	Co-proponent Response Action completed
NSW Planning	COPA 5 - Actions arising from 2021 Comprehensive Audit  Annual Environmental Report - Section 2	How is this 'in progress' if the reports have been published. Should it be 'ongoing'?	Provided more details in Action Completed.
NSW Planning	COPA 66-68 - Actions arising from 2021 Comprehensive Audit  Annual Environmental Report - Section 2	The report is to be published under the NPWS banner however this section reads as if it was prepared by, and for, NHS?  How is this marked "Completed" if the GIS layers are not available.	Report has been developed by co-proponents and has been co-branded with NPWS and NHS.  Item has been substantially completed, however as at least 2 layers are unavailable so status amended to ongoing.
NSW Planning	COPA 89 - Actions arising from 2021 Comprehensive Audit  Annual Environmental Report - Section 2	The 'Action Completed' cell indicates the plan was submitted for approval whereas 'Action Proposed' is stating the plan was approved by Heritage?	NPWS submitted a Moveable Heritage and Resources Plan to NSW Heritage in 2021, however while NSW Heritage expressed no concerns with it, no records of a formal approval were available.  Action completed cell was amended accordingly.

Stakeholder	Reference (section condition)	Comment	Co-proponent Response Action completed
NSW Planning	COPA 9 - Actions arising from 2021 Comprehensive Audit  Annual Environmental Report - Section 2	The Q Station approval ceases 2024 which is prior to this proposed completion date.	Amended proposed completion date
NSW Planning	COPA 184 - Actions arising from 2021 Comprehensive Audit  Annual Environmental Report - Section 2	The sea grass monitoring plan is required to be implemented therefore 'Completed' as a status is not accurate.	Amended to 'in progress'
NSW Planning	COPA 216-220 - Actions arising from 2021 Comprehensive Audit  Annual Environmental Report - Section 2	If the plan has been reviewed and updated then this should not be status 'Complete' as the requirement is to also implement the plan.	Amended to 'in progress'

Stakeholder	Reference (section condition)	Comment	Co-proponent Response Action completed
NSW Planning	Schedule 5 - Actions arising from 2021 Comprehensive Audit Annual Environmental Report - Section 2	It is the understanding of NSW Planning that a MOD is not being sought in which case, this 'Action completed' needs to be updated.  It is noted that the proposed time frame is at the cessation time for the project approval.	Reference to amending COPA removed and status amended.
NSW Planning	Actions arising from 2022 Annual Environmental Report - Section 3	It should be acknowledged that the action was not completed within the 'Action Proposed' time frame being by the end of the 1st quarter of 2023.	Acknowledgement inserted
NSW Planning	Complaints Annual Environmental Report Section 6	The overall layout could be improved for legibility.	Layout amended to landscape
NSW Planning	COPA 224 - Appendix A compliance report	The management measures to be undertaken as a result of identifying impacts from the trends of the monitoring have not been clearly identified in the Annual Report as required by Condition 224.	Management measures have been detailed in Appendix C – North Head Sydney Pty Ltd Monitoring Report and appendix E - NPWS Annual Monitoring Report.

Stakeholder	Reference (section condition)	Comment	Co-proponent Response Action completed
NHS and NPWS monitoring reports – Appendix C & E	The Report appears to include Appendix E - Annual Monitoring Report 2023 Quarantine Station – Sydney Harbour NP Integrated Monitoring Program prepared by NPWS and Appendix C North Head Quarantine Station 2023 Annual Monitoring Report prepared by North head Sydney Pty Ltd. The reports appear to overlap, and it is not clear why there is two documents. Further, it is not clear on how the reporting on the environmental aspect in both reports, particularly in relation to the penguin/bandicoot populations, correlate to one another?	Reports have been prepared separately as this was more practical for the co-proponents, however NPWS specific indicators in Appendix E have been incorporated into the headline indicators in Appendix C.	
Appendix A - compliance report	A number of conditions in Appendix A are identified as compliant / not compliant however in a number of instances there are no dates and or evidence associated with the relevant conditions. Dates are to be included along with details of any evidence supporting the 'Compliance status' indicator	Dates of referral to NSW Planning and Heritage Council have now been inserted with additional evidence supporting compliance where available.	
Appendix A - compliance report	A number of conditions respond with 'Noted' under 'Evidence and comments' and state the condition is compliant. Conditions with the 'Noted' descriptor should be reviewed to ensure evidence (such as dates and/or measures implemented to ensure compliance) are reflected in the 'Evidence and comments' column.	Additional evidence have now been inserted where available.	
Appendix A - compliance report	Ensure responses confirm requirements within a condition were implemented/actioned (i.e. Condition 127 provides open days were approved by NPWS however the condition also required consultation with the Quarantine Station Community Committee – the 'Evidence and comments' table provides no comment on whether consultation occurred however under 'Compliance status' it is 'compliant').	The evidence and comments table have been amended to include evidence of consultation with the QSCCC.	
Appendix B – compliance report declaration forms	A Compliance Report Declaration Form was not attached the report (acknowledging that a declaration may have been intended to accompany the final Report).	They were not included as they were not filled in. Since the finalisation of the report, these have been inserted in appendix B.	

Stakeholder	Reference (section condition)	Comment	Co-proponent Response Action completed
NPWS	Appendix C - 2023 NHS Annual Monitoring Review	<p>There is some misalignment between the <i>draft 2023 NHS Annual Monitoring Review</i> (appendix C), which is based on 2023 draft IMAMS indicators, and <i>Appendix E – Draft 2023 NPWS Annual Monitoring Report</i>, which was undertaken in accordance with approved 2006 IMAMS unless indicated. Therefore the 2023 draft IMAMS ‘specific indicators’ attributed to NPWS in Appendix C have not been covered in Appendix E, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Little Penguin breeding burrows that are active during two successive breeding seasons at QS beach and the wharf precinct NPWS</li> <li>• Number of active pairs, eggs laid, and chicks fledged at Quarantine beach and wharf precinct (note. however these 2 penguin related issues were touched upon in the discussion on performance in 4.1.2)</li> <li>• Asset Protection Zone (fuel hazard)</li> <li>• Asset Protection Zone (width).</li> </ul> <p>In addition, Appendix E does not cover the indicator on Environmental incidents, as the 2006 IMAMS and draft 2023 IMAMS attribute this indicator to NHS.</p>	Co-proponents acknowledge there is some misalignment between annual reports, however where possible an effort to incorporate NPWS specific indicators from Appendix E into the headline indicators in Appendix C.
	Appendix D – Draft 2023 Annual Review of Conservation Works	Please refer to the definition of Conservation Works in the lease and COPA 77 when considering what works to include in the annual review of conservation works.	Noted. The definitions in the COPA have been considered.

Stakeholder	Reference (section condition)	Comment	Co-proponent Response Action completed
QSCCC – member A	COPA 94 - Actions arising from 2021 Comprehensive Audit  Annual Environmental – section 2	Would like to know if & when committee will get the opportunity to review the Heritage LMP	Noted. QSCC will be consulted when draft HLMP is developed.
QSCCC – member A	COPA 98 - Actions arising from 2021 Comprehensive Audit  Annual Environmental Report – section 2	Commending progress in hiring an inscription conservationist	Noted

Stakeholder	Reference (section condition)	Comment	Co-proponent Response Action completed
QSCCC – member A	COPA 138-139 Appendix A compliance report	<p>Query whether the decline of seagrass since early 2000s will likely preclude a ferry service and other motor boats</p> <p>Questions whether kayaks (and other low impact craft) should be included when mentioning water based transport .</p>	<p>A number of factors have been identified as contributing to the loss of seagrass, including recreational vessel anchoring, boat wake and wave energy, water quality, nutrient-elevated storm events and vessel use of the Quarantine Station wharf. Potential impacts from the reintroduction of ferry services would be monitored and mitigation measures implemented where appropriate e.g. limiting propulsion thrust and operational limits via tidal and weather constraints.</p> <p>Visitors travel by kayak to the site and use services and facilities including the café and restaurant Kayaking is a popular local recreational activity with hire facilities available at Manly Cove and Quarantine Station. Paddling between these locations is a popular kayaking route.</p>
QSCCC – member A	COPA 212 Appendix A compliance report (	<p>Query whether the <i>Statement of Fire Management Intent: Sydney Harbour – Kamay Botany Bay</i> has been integrated into the Northern Beaches Bush Fire Mgt Cttee</p>	<p>SFMIs are an internal NPWS planning tool and are part of the new NPWS Reserve Planning Process, which is at the foundational stage.</p> <p>NPWS is a representative on the NB bushfire management committee and contributes to regional fire planning processes.</p>
QSCCC – member A	Complaints - Annual Environmental Report – section 6.	<p>Query whether really necessary to have 'Clay pigeon shooting' with lasers as an activity, considering the safety, noise and litter impacts</p>	<p>Noted. NPWS responded to the complaint providing reassurance that all approvals were in place and the activity was permissible. Laser clay shooting with lasers did not involve birds.</p>

Stakeholder	Reference (section condition)	Comment	Co-proponent Response Action completed
QSCCC – member A	Appendix A compliance report	There are numerous references to site wide plans. Author is seeking updates re submissions made at least 4 months ago. It would be helpful to know before the reports are considered by QSCCC and signed off.	Noted. This is being tracked through QSCCC minutes. The submissions report is still being developed and will be provided to QSCCC prior to approval of the plans.
QSCCC – member A	COPA 59 Appendix A compliance report	Link provided to QSCCC minutes but there are no minutes after end of 2022. Are the minutes from 2023 meetings publicly accessible?  Pg 99 link to Interpretation Plan does not work	Minutes of 2023 are available on the QS website at <a href="https://www.qstation.com.au/our-story.html">https://www.qstation.com.au/our-story.html</a> and will be shortly available on the NSW Government hosted QSCCC website <a href="#">Quarantine Station Community Consultative Committee   NSW Environment and Heritage</a> .  Interpretation plan link has been repaired.
QSCCC – member A	Appendix D Conservation Works Review	Progress seems good, especially with Ehive records for items in the moveable heritage collection; Upgrades look tasteful yet appropriate; eg. floor sanding and varnishing.	Noted
QSCCC – member A	Appendix E NPWS Annual monitoring report	Unclear how rabbits are being controlled. Questions if NPWS has banned shooting, how come 123 were shot last year at QS?  How can QS control rabbits?  Does NPWS have another plan? Have they stopped using calici virus?	Text was amended to clarify rabbits were shot.  The suspension of shooting operations in 2022 was temporary and has been lifted.  NPWS undertakes rabbit control at QS, and will scale up shooting operations as required. The previous calicivirus release in 2021 had mixed success due to evolved resistance. NPWS will work with LLS and SHT to release new strains of the bio-control when they become available.

Stakeholder	Reference (section condition)	Comment	Co-proponent Response Action completed
QSCCC – member A	Appendix E NPWS Annual monitoring report	Is there any Eastern Suburbs Banksia Scrub (a nationally Critically Endangered Ecological Community) within the QS lease? (Or is it all outside the QS lease area).	There are areas of ESBS on the eastern and southern edges of the lease area.  The 2006 Integrated Monitoring and Adaptive Management System only required monitoring of 'Additional on-site compensatory ESBS added' over a 5 year period. ESBS is monitored as part of the weed coverage indicator (refer Appendix C) and is monitored as part of the Saving our Species program.
QSCCC – member B	COPA 138 Appendix A compliance report	A ferry service to QS from Manly and hence CQ would be desirable for visitors to the site (tourists and local day trippers)	Noted. Negotiations will continue for the provision of a ferry service.
QSCCC – member B	COPA 139 Appendix A compliance report (	Figure of 40% in COPA 139 (via water taxi currently) could be higher on the weekends and promoted for wedding guests etc.	Noted for consideration in the new planning approval. COPA will expire at the end of 2024.
QSCCC – member B	Complaints - Annual Environmental Report Section 6	Complaint re brush turkeys in accommodation – this is an issue as doors need to be often kept open for air even in the cooler months.  Suggestion to install a 'retractable' mesh 'slide' be attached to outside of door frame to enable doors to be opened and 'visitors' to be kept outside. Not at a security level but just a standard mesh similar to a window blind attached to a 3 sided frame (ie with no bottom on the floor)	Noted for consideration. Proposals of this nature require Heritage Act approvals.
QSCCC – member B	Complaints - Annual Environmental Report Section 6	Complaint re lack of air-conditioning – probably the most common negative factor in future repeat stays at the QS, impacting on the QS's competitiveness. This is exacerbated by the need to then keep the doors open for air thus related to other issues as stated above	Noted for consideration. Proposals for air conditioning require Heritage Act approvals.

Stakeholder	Reference (section condition)	Comment	Co-proponent Response Action completed
QSCCC – member B	COPA 120 Appendix A compliance report	<p>COPA Compliance requirement/Site Visitor Capacity 120 – (numbers (600pa) and occasions (20pa)) severely limits the visitor opportunities for the site as well as being detrimental for the operator’s financing capacity which relates directly to their ability to upgrade and maintain the site in accordance with NSW and NPWS Planning requirements. The exhaustive processes to increase the SVC could be a factor as to why there is currently no proposal to increase the SVC. The cap means there is a set income for the operator.</p> <p>The SVC limits mean that only a limited no of activities can be held at the site and others which could generate good income for the operator (which much more impact on the site) are not held. The operator may also be forced to turn away events that would be of a positive community benefit.</p> <p>* it would be good to have some way of the operator having to record how many and what type of events they have been forced to turn away each year</p>	Noted. For consideration with new REF and planning approval.
QSCCC – member B	COPA 125 & 152 Appendix A compliance report	Number of special events etc needing overflow parking (6pa) relates to the capacity pa which should be increased	Noted. For consideration with new REF and planning approval
QSCCC – member B	COPA 126 Appendix A compliance report	Open days pa with capacity limits – means that if it is a successful open day then the operator must turn visitors away which impacts on visitor satisfaction. – also means that the operator cannot have another event booked in at the same time which also limits the use of the site, as well as their income.	Noted. For consideration with new REF and planning approval
QSCCC – member B	COPA 127 Appendix A compliance report	Please note that Manly Council is now Northern Beaches Council	Noted. Text is from the COPA so cannot be amended in report.

Stakeholder	Reference (section condition)	Comment	Co-proponent Response Action completed
QSCCC – member B	COPA 129 Appendix A compliance report (	Noting that night tour groups do not exceed the capacity required, however if the operator wishes it seems again that the limit of 3 night tours on the site prevents a good use of the site and its facilities, and also limits income ability.	Noted.
QSCCC – member B	Appendix D 2023 Annual Review of Conservation Works	These varied works have all successfully improved the visitor experience (think the landscaping (grass looks so much better mowed), specified planting via a grant, the Cottages, Hospital rooms, lighting to café museum and wharf etc and in accommodation.	Noted.
QSCCC – member C	Annual Environmental Report	Improved compliance with COPA from 2022 Annual Environmental Report is encouraging.	Noted.
QSCCC – member C	COPA 184 Annual Environmental Report	Completion of the overdue seagrass monitoring in Condition 184 is welcomed	Noted
QSCCC – member C	COPA 94 Annual Environmental report	Concerned there is no timetable being provided for when the Conservation Management Plan will be updated and consequently that proposed landscape works might be undertaken on the site in the interim without the Heritage Landscape Master Plan.	Noted. The CMP and DACMP are currently under review. Any works will be undertaken in accordance with the approved HLMP and CMP until these plans have been reviewed and the review approved.
QSCCC – member C	COPA 98 Annual Environment report	Concerned about further deterioration of the inscriptions. A progress update from Heritage Council of its timeline would be constructive	Any works will be undertaken in accordance with the approved Inscriptions Management Plans until the plan is reviewed and the review approved.  NSW Heritage has provided preliminary comments to the Inscriptions Management Plan which are under consideration by the co-proponents.

Stakeholder	Reference (section condition)	Comment	Co-proponent Response Action completed
QSCCC – member C	COPA 138- 198 Annual Environmental Report (pg 18)	<p>The on-going lack of a suitable ferry service means that NHS is unable to comply with the conditions.</p> <p>Of concern is the failure of these conditions to be met, compounding the adverse environmental impacts of the reliance on vehicular access to the site. The addition of the shuttle bus to Manly is commendable; nevertheless water-based access is fundamental to the mitigation of environmental impacts as well as the improved operation of the site.</p>	Noted. Negotiations with ferry operators is ongoing. In addition to the shuttle bus, a public bus is available.
QSCCC – member C	COPA 167 and Schedule 5 Annual Environmental Report (pg 24)	Concerned that the rationale for the change of monitoring method not being fully understood by the public and the need for the change of method to be better explained.	Reasons for amending the long nosed bandicoot monitoring method has added to Schedule 5 and is also included in the 2023 draft IMAMS.
QSCCC – member C	COPA 180 Annual Environmental Report (pg 19)	Of concern is the intensification of outdoor use next to the Boiler House restaurant that has impacted the adjacent Manly Little Penguin nesting areas. Why the Little Penguins have moved to other areas requires thorough assessment in the 2024 review.	Noted. The reasons for the absence of penguin nesting at Quarantine Beach will be considered as part of the review.
QSCCC – member C	Incidents Annual Environmental Report (pg 26)	<p>The three power outages in March and May 2023 suggest feasibility options for backup power supplies should be investigated.</p> <p>Of concern is the prospect of recurring blackouts on what is a difficult site at night to safely negotiate in the dark for the wellbeing of guests or visitors and the occupational safety of workers.</p>	Noted
QSCCC – member C	Complaints Annual Environmental Report (pg 27)	The complaints by guests regarding brush turkey behaviour suggest an information kit is required (in addition to proposed signage) to inform and generally educate guests on acceptable interactions with wildlife. This can be developed in conjunction with the Minimal Impact Code referenced in the Appendix C, Clause 3.6	Noted

Stakeholder	Reference (section condition)	Comment	Co-proponent Response Action completed
QSCCC – member C	Complaints Annual Environmental Report	Complaints about the lack of AC should not be used to justify the proposed addition of AC units to accommodation suites without very careful consideration of heritage and visual / acoustic impacts. Alternatives such as improving insulation and ceiling fans should also be considered first.	Noted for consideration. Ceiling fans have already been installed in accommodation suites. Any proposal for air conditioning require Heritage Act approvals.
QSCCC – member C	COPA 13 Appendix A compliance report	Validity of current 21-year approval expires on 28 December 2024. Could a comment be included on the progress of any lodgement and/or assessment for an extension of the approvals?	A comment about the proposed planning pathway has been included.
QSCCC – member C	COPA 21 Appendix A compliance report	“Ministers’ approval and lease is valid until 2027” (sic). Doesn’t this date contradict the 21-year approval validity (2003 to 2024) date shown under Condition 13?	The text has been amended.
QSCCC – member C	COPA 35-37 Appendix A compliance report	“There were no construction works during the reporting period.” Was it the case that no work including installation or upgrading of utility infrastructure etc. and landscape works was undertaken in 2023? The work listed in Appendix D suggests otherwise.	There were no construction work as defined by the NPWS Construction Assessment and Approvals Procedure.
QSCCC – member C	COPA 52 Appendix A compliance report	Appointment of the Environmental Manager was approved by NSW Planning on 13 June 2023.  Given Rose Porter was named for the period up and until 12 June 2023 would it also be appropriate to name the new Environmental Manager from 13 June 2023 onwards?	Reference to individuals has been removed as recommended by NSW Planning. The COPA relates to the appointment of the position rather than individuals.

Stakeholder	Reference (section condition)	Comment	Co-proponent Response Action completed
QSCCC – member C	COPA 66-69 Appendix A compliance report	<p>An outline of the computer-based information management system and GIS was developed and approved by NPWS for the site in 2007. NPWS implemented GIS for the site and shares it with NHS via Teams.</p> <p>Is the sharing of the GIS data via Teams the most effective method available?</p>	<p>Teams is currently the most effective method considering NHS's current GIS capacity.</p> <p>Data layers are available for sharing if required.</p>
QSCCC – member C	COPA 90 Appendix A compliance report	<p>The cultural landscape is conserved, managed and interpreted in accordance with the Heritage Landscape Plan 2005.</p> <p>Query: Given the Heritage Landscape Plan 2005 is now some 19 years old and meant to be reviewed every 5 years (Condition 94) why has there not been a more recent Plan update used?</p>	<p>The co-proponents acknowledge that the plan has not been reviewed, but is the process of reviewing all site wide plans.</p> <p>The review of the Heritage Landscape Management Plan will be undertaken once the ongoing CMP and CMP review has been completed, consistent with advice from the Heritage Council.</p>
QSCCC – member C	COPA 94 Appendix A compliance report	<p>Condition 94: Review of Heritage Landscape Master Plan every five years. Query: What is the reason given by NSW Heritage that requires that the Heritage Landscape Management Plan review must wait until after the update of the Conservation Management Plan</p>	<p>The Heritage Council advised that the Heritage Landscape Masterplan should be assessed against previous CMPs, the updated CMP and Mawland's 2004 Vision Statement.</p> <p>No reasoning was provided, but it will allow the HMLP review to incorporate updated cultural landscape planning policies from the CMP and DACMP.</p>

Stakeholder	Reference (section condition)	Comment	Co-proponent Response Action completed
QSCCC – member C	Appendix C - 2023 Annual Monitoring Review	The layout to the Review has been well executed and provides a good comparative analysis of the performance indicators and trends over time. The overall Sustainability Index for 2023 is 0.89. The last year that the Index data was available was for 2020 when an index of 0.95 was achieved. It is accepted that the intervening years affected by COVID shutdowns and the changes of management would not have provided a useful comparison.	Noted.
QSCCC – member C	Environmental Index Appendix C - 2023 Annual Monitoring Review	It is regrettable that the Environmental Index relies solely on the NHS monitored indicators. The NPWS input is required in order for the Environmental Index section to determine an index score that actually reflects the real-world situation. The delineation of whom environmental responsibility lies with is at times a fraught matter given the co-proponents are sharing the role. Despite NPWS stated reservations about the usefulness of specific indexes, it is still possible to interpolate and add the Appendix E NPWS Annual Monitoring Report data in the Headline Indicator Scores to complete the NHS Review.	Some integration was subsequently undertaken, with NPWS specific indicators from appendix E now incorporated into relevant headline indicators in appendix C, where feasible.
QSCCC – member C	Cultural Heritage Index Appendix C - 2023 Annual Monitoring Review	<p>The on-going maintenance regime for the fragile site exposed to a harsh marine environment is essential. The site has deteriorated during the COVID shutdown periods and needs a rotational maintenance management program adopting conservation principles. The wharf infrastructure requires particular attention and opportunities for State and Federal funding should be investigated.</p> <p>The planned “greater clarity through the NHQS site wide plans as to how the cultural landscape of the Aviation phase can be achieved with specific landscape management strategies” will benefit the revitalization of the former heritage character and visual interpretation of the site.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>NHS has sought and will continue to seek funding from heritage and infrastructure grant programs.</p>

Stakeholder	Reference (section condition)	Comment	Co-proponent Response Action completed
QSCCC – member C	Social Conditions Index - Appendix C - 2023 Annual Monitoring Review	<p>The Social index has shown a decrease from its 2020 score of 1.00 to 0.74. Improving engagement and the offering to guests and visitors alike is recommended and supported. I suggest that the reasons for the decline are as much to do with national trends towards international travel than mere local factors.</p> <p>The planned interactive tours and interpretation scenarios to suit different demographics, age and interest levels will be a plus.</p> <p>Consideration for accessibility and the visually impaired plus the use of braille should be included. Social factors should also be expanded to include improved interaction with local groups and opportunities for community engagement. Public perceptions and media are areas that would also need development to benefit the social indicators.</p>	Noted.
QSCCC – member C	Economic Index - - Appendix C - 2023 Annual Monitoring Review	The economic challenges faced during 2023 have been significant, yet despite these difficulties the economic index score of 1.00 shows the proprietor has performed well. The positive outcomes should be commended	Noted
QSCCC – member C	Appendix D 2023 Annual Review of Conservation Works	A thorough and detailed report. The authors are to be congratulated.	Noted